



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,992	12/11/2001	Takeaki Shimanouchi	2500.66054	3379

7590 04/29/2004

Patrick G. Burns, Esq.
GREER, BURNS, CRAIN, LTD.
Suite 2500
300 South Wacker Dr.
Chicago, IL 60606

EXAMINER

TAMAI, KARL I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2834

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Applicati n N .

10/014,992

Applicant(s)

SHIMANOUCHI, TAKEAKI

Examin r

Tamai IE Karl

Art Unit

2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n app ars n the cover sh et with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 March 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The amended title "Electrostatic Actuator Including Stable Electrode Column and Wall" has been entered into the file wrapper. The requirement of a new title is withdrawn.
2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: square prism.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the square prism must be shown or the features canceled from the claim 12. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2834

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim 12 contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not contain a written description of the columns being a square prism.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dyck et al.(Dyck)(US 6393913) and Werner (US 6133059). Dyck shows and actuator having opposing 26 within a framed moving electrodes 28. Dyck shows the stationary and electrodes are parallel and fixedly mounted on a silicon nitride base plane. Dyck inherently teaches a square column(prism) at the end of the wall which is integrally formed with the wall, which is between the datum planes formed by the outer surfaces of the electrode walls. Dyck teaches every aspect of the invention except the solid insulating piece between the electrodes and the material of the insulating piece is silicon nitride. Werner teaches an insulating member ZR between the adjacent capacitance electrodes being the same as the insulating base. Werner teaches an

electrostatic actuator having stationary electrodes with columns couple to the end of the wall (see FE 12, in figure 6). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Dyck with the insulation between the stationary electrodes to position and provide stability to the electrodes as shown by Werner, and with the insulating material being silicon nitride because Dyck teaches it is the preferred material for the base.

8. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dyck et al.(Dyck)(US 6393913) and Werner (US 6133059). Dyck and Werner teach every aspect of the invention except the spacing between the datum planes being three times the wall thickness of the moving electrode. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Dyck and Werner with the spacing between the datum planes being three times the wall thickness of the moving electrode to optimized performance of the actuator, and because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. (see *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233).

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dyck et al.(Dyck)(US 6393913) and Werner (US 6133059). Dyck and Werner teach every aspect of the invention except the moving electrode having a thickness W and the stable electrode columns have area of $9W^2$ at the basement plane. It would have been

Art Unit: 2834

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Dyck and Werner with the moving electrode having a thickness W and the stable electrode columns have area of $9W^2$ at the basement plane to optimized the power supply to the electrodes, and because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. (see *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233).

10. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dyck et al.(Dyck)(US 6393913) and Werner (US 6133059), in further view of Fujii et al.(Fujii)(US 6227050). Dyck and Werner teach every aspect of the invention except the insulating film and conductor pieces connecting the column to a wiring pattern. Fujii teaches a conductive wiring pattern 122 and an insulating film with the connector piece to the electrodes being surrounded by film (see figure 30). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Dyck and Werner with the insulating film and conductor pieces connecting the column to a wiring pattern of Fujii to utilize know micromachine assembly techniques.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. The Applicant's argument regarding the columns fixed to the walls is not persuasive because it is inherently taught by both Dyck et al.(Dyck)(US 6393913) and Werner (US 6133059).

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.


13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 2036.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg, can be reached at (571) 272 - 2044. The facsimile number for the Group is (703) 872 - 9306.

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl I Tamai
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
April 20, 2004



KARL TAMAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER